

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION**

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02767 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| AMAZON DIGITAL SERVICES, INC. |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02769 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| FACEBOOK, INC. |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02772 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| LINKEDIN CORP., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02781 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| GROUPON, INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02782 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| PANDORA MEDIA, INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02783 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| TWITTER, INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02823 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| BARNES & NOBLE, INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02824 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS |) | |
| AMERICA, LLC, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,</p> <p>Defendant.</p> | <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> | <p>Civil Action No. 12-cv-02825 – JPM-tmp</p> |
| <p>B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC,</p> <p>Defendant.</p> | <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> | <p>Civil Action No. 12-cv-02826 – JPM-tmp</p> |
| <p>B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.,</p> <p>Defendant.</p> | <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> | <p>Civil Action No. 12-cv-02827 – JPM-tmp</p> |
| <p>B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>SONY ELECTRONICS INC.,</p> <p>Defendant.</p> | <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> <p>)</p> | <p>Civil Action No. 12-cv-02828 – JPM-tmp</p> |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02829 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| MICROSOFT CORP., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02830 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| GOOGLE INC. |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02831 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| APPLE INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
| B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | Civil Action No. 12-cv-02833 – JPM-tmp |
| |) | |
| v. |) | |
| |) | |
| PEOPLE MEDIA, INC. |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |
| |) | |

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,

Plaintiff,

V.

MATCH.COM, LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 12-cv-02834 – JPM-tmp

B.E. TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C.,

Plaintiff,

V.

**MOTOROLA MOBILITY
HOLDINGS LLC,**

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 12-cv-02866 – JPM-tmp

JOINT NOTICE OF INSTITUTION OF *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Pursuant to the Order granting Defendants’ Motions to Stay (D.I. 71], entered December 6, 2013, the parties jointly file this Notice of the PTO’s decision on April 9, 2014 to grant the petitions for *inter partes* review (“IPR”). For efficiency, the decisions instituting each IPR are attached as exhibits to the filing of this Notice (D.I. 76) in B.E. Technology, L.L.C. v. Facebook, Inc., Civil Action No. 12-cv-02769. For the Court’s convenience, the chart below identifies each IPR by number, the associated exhibit number for the decision, the patent associated with the IPR, which patent claims are subject to the notice of institution in each IPR, and the party that filed the IPR.

| IPR Number | Exhibit # in Case No. 12-cv-02769, ECF No. 76 | Patent | Claims | Filer |
|-------------------|--|---------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| IPR2014-00029 | Exhibit A | 6,771,290 | 2 – 3 | Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc. |
| IPR2014-00031 | Exhibit B | 6,771,290 | 2 – 3 | Google Inc. |
| IPR2014-00033 | Exhibit C | 6,771,290 | 2 – 3 | Google Inc. |
| IPR2014-00038 | Exhibit D | 6,628,314 | 11 – 13, 15, 18, 20 | Google Inc. |
| IPR2014-00039 | Exhibit E | 6,628,314 | 11 – 22 | Microsoft Corp. |
| IPR2014-00040 | Exhibit F | 6,771,290 | 1 – 3 | Microsoft Corp. |
| IPR2014-00044 | Exhibit G | 6,771,290 | 2 – 3 | Samsung Electronics America, Inc. |
| IPR2014-00052 | Exhibit H | 6,628,314 | 11 – 13, 15, 18, 20 | Facebook, Inc. |
| IPR2014-00053 | Exhibit I | 6,628,314 | 11 – 13, 15, 18, 20 | Facebook, Inc. |

Dated: April 15, 2014

Respectfully submitted:

/s/ Robert E. Freitas (per Consent MVB)
 Robert E. Freitas (CA Bar No. 80948)
 Daniel J. Weinberg (CA Bar No. 227159)
 FREITAS TSENG & KAUFMAN LLP
 100 Marine Parkway, Suite 200
 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 593-6300
 Facsimile: (650) 593-6301
 rfreytas@ftklaw.com
 dweinberg@ftklaw.com

Richard M. Carter (TN B.P.R. #7285)
 MARTIN, TATE, MORROW & MARSTON, P.C.
 6410 Poplar Avenue, Suite 1000
 Memphis, TN 38119-4839
 Telephone: (901) 522-9000
 Facsimile: (901) 527-3746
 rcarter@martintate.com

Counsel for Plaintiff B.E. Technology, L.L.C.

/s/ Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr.
Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr.
WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP
1715 Aaron Brenner Dr., Ste. 800
Memphis, TN 38120
Direct phone: 901-537-1069
Fax: 901-537-1010
mvorder-bruegge@wyattfirm.com

Local Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF CONSULTATION

Consultation among all parties in the above-captioned actions was conducted and resulted in all parties' agreement to file this notice jointly.

Respectfully submitted:

/s/ Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr.
Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr.
Local Counsel for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The foregoing notice having been filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, a copy is being automatically served upon all counsel of record for both parties in each of the above-captioned actions.

/s/ Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr.
Mark Vorder-Bruegge, Jr.
Local Counsel for Defendant